

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
_	10/663,397	10/663,397 09/16/2003 Robert L. Koelzer		01925-P0215A	2908
	24126 7			EXAMINER	
	ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS, LLC		KING, BRADLEY T		
986 BEDFORD STREET STAMFORD, CT 06905-5619				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	STAMI ORD, OF COOKS SOLD			3683	
				DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/663,397	KOELZER, ROBERT L.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Bradley T. King	3683			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		,				
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 May 2006.					
•	•	s action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 22-25,42-55 and 58-68 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 23,46 and 61-67 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 22,24,25,42-45,47-55,58-60 and 68 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachme	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summ	nary (PTO-413)			
2) Noti	ce of Neisrenices Glied (179 602) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma				

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 22, 24-25, 42-45, 47-55, 58-60 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. Claims 22 and 68 have been amended to recite "at least one input for receiving signals containing information about the brake system". The original disclosure fails to support this feature. None of the disclosed inputs appear to be specific to the brake system.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3683

Claims 22, 24-25, 42-43, 48-51 and 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE 3529743.

DE 3529743 discloses all the limitations of the instant claims including; an engine 10, a supply device driven by said engine; a motor driven by the agency supplied by said supply device, a brake power source driven by said motor; a brake system powered by said brake power source; and a controller 53 in communication with said supply device, said controller having at least one input for receiving signals containing information about the vehicle; wherein said controller determines the rate at which to cause said supply device to supply the agency to said motor, thereby causing said motor to drive said brake power source at a desired rate. Note column 5, lines 5-25 as well as sensors 10a, 112 and control devices 96 and 104.

Regarding claim 24, see hydraulic pump 95 and hydraulic motor 100.

Regarding claim 25, see the reservoir 13.

Regarding claim 42, see input 10a and 89.

Regarding claim 43, note that engine speed is reflective of the throttle position as broadly required by the claim.

Regarding claim 48, note input 112.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3683

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 44, 54-55, and 58-60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 3529743 in view of Eslinger et al (US# 5613744).

Regarding claim 4, DE 3529743 discloses all the limitations of the instant claims with exception to the input of information relating to wheel speed. It is well known in the art and further taught by Eslinger et al to utilize wheel speed to control braking systems. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include wheel speed as taught by Eslinger et al as an input in the system of DE 3529743 to allow for ABS braking controls, thereby increasing the safety of the system.

Regarding claim 54, DE 3529743 discloses all the limitations of the instant claims with exception to the particulars of the brake system. Eslinger et al teach an air braking system including a braking mechanism 18, a valve 30 and/or 38 connecting the reservoir to the braking mechanism, and a valve actuator connected to the valve (valve actuators are necessarily inherent for electrically controlled valves to operate). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a reservoir, brake mechanism, valve and valve actuator as taught by Eslinger et al as an obvious means of carrying out braking actuation in the system of DE 3529743, thereby providing controllable brake operation. Regarding claims 55 and 58, The Examiner takes Official Notice that floating calipers and fixed calipers are well known in the art and recognized alternative structures suitable for an intended purpose. Eslinger et al further teach a brake shoe as well as the broad "caliper". Column 2, lines

Art Unit: 3683

60-65. It further would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize floating or fixed calipers or brake shoes in the system of DE 3529743 and Eslinger et al as known in the art and obvious alternative structures for brake actuation. Also note *Ryco, Inc. v. Ag-Bag Corp.*, 857 F.2d 1418, 8 USPQ2d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Claims 45 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 3529743 in view of Bruehmann et al (US#6089831).

DE 3529743 discloses all the limitations of the instant claims with exception to receiving an input reflecting air pressure or air dryer temperature. Air pressure and temperature are well known in the art and further demonstrated by Bruehmann et al for controlling compressor operation. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize information pertaining to air pressure or air temperature as known and further taught by Bruehmann et al in the system of DE 3529743 to ensure proper air pressure necessary for operation or maintain air temperature within acceptable limits, thereby preventing damage to the system.

Claims 52-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 3529743 in view of Koelzer et al (6439857).

DE 3529743 discloses all the limitations of the instant claims with exception to the explicit disclosure of the details of the air compressor. Swash plate compressors

Art Unit: 3683

are well known in the art and further taught by Koelzer et al in the brake environment. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a swash plate compressor as known in the art and further taught by Koelzer et al in the system of DE 3529743 as an obvious means of providing compressed air with a compact and structurally simple unit. Also note *Ryco, Inc. v. Ag-Bag Corp.*, 857 F.2d 1418, 8 USPQ2d 1323 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 5/02/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding DE 35 29 743, please note the 112 1st rejection above. Paragraphs [0021] and [0027] noted by Applicant have been reviewed. However the disclosure does not appear to indicate any input that is indicative of the status of the brake system.

Regarding the combination with Bruehmann, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, it is maintained that motivation can be found within the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. One of ordinary skill in the art is familiar with the necessity of maintaining adequate air pressure to ensure

Art Unit: 3683

proper brake operation as well a monitoring temperature to prevent compressor damage. Further, monitoring these signals in the brake environment is demonstrated by Bruehmann. It is maintained that the rejections are proper.

Regarding the statement of official notice in the last office action, it is noted that the statement has not been challenged. The statement is therefore taken as admitted prior art. See MPEP 2144.03(C).

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3683

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley T. King whose telephone number is (571) 272-7117. The examiner can normally be reached on 11:00-7:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan can be reached on (571) 272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BTK

JAMES MCCLELLAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER